

# Private Investment Management (Discretionary)



Wealth Management  
Dominion Securities

Volume 2, May 2019



**Guy Tessier, B.A., GPC**  
Vice President and Portfolio Manager  
guy.tessier@rbc.com  
514-878-7023

**Sophie Labonté**  
Associate Advisor  
sophie.labonte@rbc.com

## Dear client

Look back on the financial markets since the end of 2018.

Data after closing on April 30, 2019						
		2018		2019		Since May 1st, 2018
	Market	Closing	12 months	Closing	4 months	Last 12 months
S&P/TSX Index (1)	Canada	14,323	-8.9%	16,581	16.9%	9.5%
S&P 500 Index (1) et (2)	USA	2,507	-4.4%	2,946	18.2%	13.2%
(1) The calculation of the yield takes into consideration the dividends received. (2) The return is expressed in local currency (US \$).						

The year 2018 has been a difficult year in the stock markets for all regions of the globe. For example, in Canada, the market was down 8.9% and was down 4.4% in the United States.

However, the situation improved significantly in the first four months of 2019 (as of April 30), while the Canadian market is up 16.9% and the S&P 500 (USA) index is up 18.2%.

Since 1 year (12 months) ending April 30, the Canadian market has thus recovered all the losses of 2018 while the Canadian market is up 9.5% and that of the United States is up 13.2%. The situation in the rest of the world has also improved since the beginning of the year.

Having a medium and long-term investment vision helps to achieve the financial objectives that we set ourselves. We must also avoid that emotions interfere with the long-term plan.

We invite you to read the article below which discusses the concept of market timing (trying to buy at the lowest).

# Market timing

## It may not matter as much as you think

*"The best time to invest in the market is when you have the money."*

– Sir John Templeton

Over the years we have had many conversations with investors about investment timing, in an attempt to tackle the question of when is the optimal time to put new money to work in portfolios. Timing is one area of investing that challenges most investors. Whether one uses a fundamental approach or looks at markets from a technical perspective, there is a degree of apprehension that exists across these decisions—the fear that one could be investing new money into the markets at the absolute wrong time.

We have spent much time pondering how to best guide investors through the challenge of consistently adding new money to their portfolios, and we always come back to Sir John Templeton's maxim at the beginning of this article. We believe there is a great deal of wisdom in this approach because it does not profess to take a view on timing. To help illustrate this point, we have created a simple scenario which helps to explain that the fear of mistiming the markets is largely misplaced.

The chart below depicts the returns of the S&P 500 going back to 1966 for three investors. Each investor started with an initial deposit of \$10,000 and thereafter contributed \$1,200 annually. The difference among these three investors is as follows: One was highly skilled and able to perfectly time her annual entry point to correspond with the S&P 500's annual low (blue line). The second investor timed his investment such that the annual contribution corresponded with the annual high (orange line). Finally, the third investor took a systematic approach, adding \$1,200 at the beginning of each year (grey line).

Despite perfect (imperfect) market timing, investors' outcomes are not all that different



Source - Bloomberg, RBC Wealth Management; data through 12/15/18

All values in U.S. dollars and priced as of April 11, 2019, market close, unless otherwise noted.

Produced: Apr 12, 2019 14:35ET; Disseminated: Apr 12, 2019 15:00ET

The first thing that jumps out is how relatively small the difference is among the three portfolio ending values. The difference between the investor that executed perfect market timing compared to the one that perfectly mistimed the market really isn't that much. More specifically, there is a 17.6% difference, which may seem like a lot but this is over a 52-year period. On an annualized basis, this translates into a 0.3% difference each year. Also keep in mind that this scenario illustrates the worst case possible. In practice, it's virtually impossible that an investor would ever be able to perfectly time or mistime the market over a period of 52 years. And the variance between perfect market timing and the systematic approach is even smaller at 8.4%, or 0.16% annualized.

Why does market timing not really matter?


The results shake out this way because of the power of compounding returns over a long period of time. Mathematically, compounding is a much more meaningful determinant of long-term returns than timing decisions. In the short term, the market is going to do what it is going to do. Over the long term, having a seat at the table (i.e., being invested) is what really matters. We believe this is an important message for all of us as investors to grasp. Ideally, this should liberate us, and we can stop worrying about timing in the short term and instead focus on making sound investment decisions with a long-term time frame in mind.

Yeah, but ...?

Some of you may be thinking that this analysis really only works because we've happened to isolate a period of time when the market went up. First of all, 52 years is a long time, so it's hard to argue that this is one big exercise in data mining. However, to put to rest any reservations that the skeptics may have, let's look at the period of 2000–2007, when the S&P 500 was more or less flat.

The same scenario holds water in a flat market

The "flat market" of 2000–2007



Source - Bloomberg, RBC Wealth Management

Using the exact same scenario as previously, we can see the results in the above chart. Over this shorter time frame, the bulk of the growth in portfolio value is a function of the annual \$1,200 deposits, but the key message still holds: there is little discernable difference between the end of period portfolio values regardless of how well (or poorly) an investor timed the market. Even in a flat market scenario the exercise holds up. **The long-term effects of timing incremental deposits into portfolios are significantly outweighed by the power of compounding returns.**

Some parting thoughts

The concept that “time in the market” matters more than “timing into the market” is well founded. In the short term, there may be circumstances when putting new money to work may prove to be the wrong course of action in hindsight. But in the long term, ultimately it is the time in the market that matters most. We also acknowledge that there can be unique circumstances in which it could make sense to discuss taking a more measured approach to becoming fully invested. However, we believe the majority of the time we are likely doing more of a disservice to our long-term returns by waiting for an “opportunistic time” to invest. Remember, to truly invest on a pullback assumes that we will (1) be able to call the timing of the pullback, (2) actually recognize when the time comes, and (3) have the fortitude to execute when the time arrives. In our opinion, that’s a fairly tall order that’s too tall to ask of anyone.

*Tim Corney, CFA, Portfolio Advisor, RBC Dominion Securities Inc. is the author of this article on the market timing*

**As always, if you have any questions whatsoever, about your portfolios or anything else, do not hesitate to contact us.**



**Wealth Management**  
Dominion Securities