



Wealth Management

INVESTMENT, TAX AND LIFESTYLE PERSPECTIVES FROM RBC WEALTH MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Federal government targets tax planning using private corporations

Consultation paper released by Department of Finance on July 18, 2017

The 2017 federal budget identified tax planning areas where the government feels that some owners of private corporations have gained unfair tax advantages. On July 18, 2017 Federal Minister of Finance, Bill Morneau, announced the release of a consultation paper and draft legislation to address the following issues:

- income splitting;
- multiplication of the capital gains exemption;
- holding a passive investment portfolio inside a private corporation, and
- converting a private corporation's regular income into capital gains.

The government is seeking input on its proposals by October 2, 2017.

The information in this article is not intended to provide legal or tax advice. To ensure that your own circumstances have been properly considered and that action is taken based on the latest information available, you should obtain professional advice from a qualified tax advisor before acting on any of the information in this article.

Income splitting using private corporations

Some of the proposed measures being introduced by the government target income splitting using private corporations. Income splitting describes a range of tax-planning arrangements that result in income that would have been taxed in the hands of a high-income individual being taxed in the hands of a lower-income individual, typically a family member of the highincome individual. Income splitting minimizes the overall amount of personal tax paid on this income by accessing tax attributes of the lower-income individual, including the individual's lower marginal tax rates, personal tax credits (such as the basic personal amount), and in some cases, certain deductions (such as the lifetime capital gains exemption).

Tax on split income

The Income Tax Act currently includes certain rules to curtail the use of income splitting. One of these rules applies a special "tax on split income" (TOSI or more often known as "kiddie tax") to address the splitting of certain types of income to minor children (i.e., individuals under the age of 18). The TOSI applies to dividends paid on private company shares or income distributed from a trust or partnership that is derived from a business or rental activity of a related individual. In cases where TOSI applies, the income is subject to tax at the top personal rate in the hands of the minor.

The government is proposing to extend the TOSI rules to apply to any Canadian resident individual, regardless of their age, who receives split income. However, for adults age 18 or older, only the amount that is considered to be "unreasonable" in the circumstance would be subject to TOSI. This reasonableness test will apply differently based on the age of the adult and is more restrictive for adults age 18-24 as opposed to those age 25 or older. An amount would not be considered reasonable if it exceeds what an arm's length party would have agreed to pay to the adult, considering the labour and capital contributions of the individual to the business, the risk assumed, as well as all previous returns or remuneration paid to the individual in respect of the business.

The proposed measures also provide that the TOSI would apply in the case of individuals under the age of 25 to 'compound income', meaning income derived from an investment of split income, whether or not it meets the reasonableness test.

In addition, the proposed measures would expand the types of income

that are considered to be split income. However, the TOSI would continue to not apply to salary or wages (i.e., employment income) paid to an individual.

The measures would generally apply for the 2018 and later taxation years.

Lifetime Capital Gains Exemption

The lifetime capital gains exemption (LCGE) provides an exemption from tax in respect of capital gains realized by individuals on the disposition of qualified small business corporation (QSBC) shares and qualified farm or fishing property. If you dispose of QSBC shares, you may shelter capital gains realized up to a lifetime limit of \$835,716 in 2017. This limit increases over time as it is indexed to inflation. The lifetime limit in respect of capital gains from the disposition of qualified farm or fishing property is \$1 million.

The current tax rules allow you to multiply the LCGE available on the sale of your business if your family members also own shares of your corporation, directly or indirectly through a family trust. For example, instead of only being able to claim one LCGE, a family of four can shelter more than \$3.3 million of capital gains, resulting in significant tax savings.

The government's particular concern is that family members can claim the LCGE even though they may not have invested in, or contributed to, the business value reflected in the capital gains they realize. They are also concerned with the use of (typically, discretionary) family trusts to sprinkle capital gains among family members and multiply the LCGE in order to reduce capital gains tax.

To constrain the multiplication of the LCGE, the government proposes to disallow the use of the LCGE in respect of capital gains that are realized or accrued before the taxation year in which an individual reaches age 18. In addition, for adults age 18 and over, the new reasonableness test described above for the split income rules (involving whether the individual made labour and/or capital contributions to the business) would be used to determine whether the adult would be able to claim the LCGE.

Also, gains that accrued during the time in which a trust holds the property will no longer be eligible for the LCGE, subject to certain exceptions. For example, these rules will not apply to gains that accrue on property held in a spousal or common-law partner trust, alter ego trust, and certain employee share ownership trusts.

These proposed measures would apply to dispositions after 2017. However, transitional rules would allow individuals to elect to realize, on a day in 2018, a capital gain by way of a deemed disposition for proceeds up to the fair market value of the property. The election will be available for property owned by the individual continuously from the end of 2017 until the day of the deemed disposition. Capital gains realized under the election will generally be eligible for the LCGE if the criteria under the current tax rules are met.

Holding passive investments inside a private corporation

Corporate business income is taxed at lower rates than personal income, giving businesses more money to invest in order to grow their business. In the case where the business earns income beyond what is needed, the business owner has the opportunity to hold passive investments inside the corporation. This may result in the realization of after-tax returns that exceed what an individual investor saving in a personal investment account can achieve.

The government feels that the current system does not remove incentives to holding passive investments within a corporation which, in many instances, lead to unfair tax results.

For instance, if an individual with a regular salary of \$300,000 receives \$100,000 of income in the form of an employment bonus and decides to invest the after-tax amount passively, they will have roughly \$50,000 in after-tax income to invest (assuming a 50 per cent marginal federalprovincial tax rate). In contrast, an entrepreneur earning an extra \$100,000 of business income through their private corporation after paying themselves \$300,000 in employment income will have about \$85,000 after tax to invest passively, if kept in the corporation (assuming the income is eligible for the lower tax rate on small business income). While the current system aims at ensuring that both individuals pay approximately the same combined tax rate on the passive income generated by their investment (about 50 per cent), it does not recognize that the individual using their private corporation has more capital to invest than the employed individual (that is, 70 per cent more in this case, or \$85,000 vs. \$50,000).

Proposed response

The government has not proposed draft legislation to prevent this perceived unfair advantage, but they have offered a plan which is open for comment. The goal under the new system is that the value of the aftertax portfolio of a business owner investing through their corporation would be equal to that of a salaried individual taxed at the top personal income tax rate who invests in a personal savings account.

As an alternative, the government contemplates that the current regime of refundable taxes on passive investment income could be replaced with a system of non-refundable tax on passive investment income at a rate equal to top personal tax rates.

The new approach entails changes to

how passive income is categorized, and subsequently taxed at the individual level when distributed from the corporation as dividends (i.e., eligible dividends or noneligible dividends) to shareholders. In essence, the tax treatment of passive income distributed as dividends would need to take into account how the earnings used to fund the passive investment were initially taxed, in order to properly eliminate the resulting tax advantage. As such, it would be necessary to track the source of these funds for the purpose of determining how dividends paid by the corporation would be taxed personally in the hand of the shareholders. Two broad methods are being contemplated to determine the tax treatment of dividends paid from passive investments: an apportionment method and an elective method. A discussion of these two methods and various elections that may be available is complex and beyond the scope of this article.

It is the government's intent that the new rules will apply on a goforward basis. Once a new approach is determined for the tax treatment of passive investment income, the government will consider how to ensure that the new rules have limited impact on existing passive investments.

If the proposed system is implemented, there will be less incentive to accumulate passive investments in your private corporation. In such a case, you may want to consider other saving alternatives such as an Individual Pension Plan, RRSP and/or TFSA.

Converting a private corporation's regular income into capital gains

Currently when an individual sells shares of a corporation to a non-arm's length corporation (for example, to another corporation owned by the individual), the Income Tax Act has an anti-avoidance rule to ensure that a corporate distribution is properly taxed as a taxable dividend. If non-share consideration (e.g., cash) is received, the shareholder will be deemed to have received a dividend. If shares are received, the shareholder's paid-up capital is reduced to prevent surplus stripping at the time the shares are redeemed.

The application of this anti-avoidance rule has not always been effective. An individual shareholder may be able to obtain capital gains treatment rather than taxable dividend treatment on extraction of corporate surplus (in general, unrealized corporate value less liabilities) in some cases.

The proposed measure extends the current rules to cases where the shareholder's adjusted cost base (ACB) is increased in a taxable non-arm's length transaction. The objective is to reduce the ACB of the taxpayer's share by the amount of any capital gain realized by non-arm's length individuals. This is to ensure that a taxpayer cannot extract corporate surplus as a return of paid-up capital or non-share consideration to the extent that the ACB relied upon results from capital gains previously realized by non-arm's length individuals.

The introduction of this measure appears to have a negative impact on the commonly used "pipeline planning technique." Pipeline planning helps to avoid the double taxation that could occur when a shareholder of a private Canadian corporation passes and their shares of the corporation are deemed disposed. This post-mortem planning essentially reduces the tax on the removal of corporate surplus to the capital gains tax rate on the death of the shareholder. The government stated they would study the impact of the proposed measures on the taxation of intergenerational transfers of shares of a small business corporation.

The government also proposes a new anti-avoidance provision intended to

prevent the distribution of corporate surplus to an individual shareholder resident in Canada, which would otherwise be distributed as a taxable dividend, on a tax-reduced or tax-free basis in a non-arm's length context.

The proposed measures are effective on or after July 18, 2017.

Next steps

If you are an owner of a private corporation you should consider the potential impact of the consultation paper and accompanying proposed legislation. It is important to discuss the implications with your qualified tax advisor to review your circumstances. Speak to your RBC advisor to stay informed about the progress of the consultation.



This document has been prepared for use by the RBC Wealth Management member companies, RBC Dominion Securities Inc. (RBC DS)*, RBC Phillips, Hager & North Investment Counsel Inc. (RBC PH&N IC), RBC Global Asset Management Inc. (RBC GAM), Royal Trust Corporation of Canada and The Royal Trust Company (collectively, the "Companies") and their affiliates, RBC Direct Investing Inc. (RBC DI) *, RBC Wealth Management Financial Services Inc. (RBC WMFS) and Royal Mutual Funds Inc. (RMFI). *Member-Canadian Investor Protection Fund. Each of the Companies, their affiliates and the Royal Bank of Canada are separate corporate entities which are affiliated. "RBC advisor" refers to Private Bankers who are employees of Royal Bank of Canada and mutual fund representatives of RMFI, Investment Counsellors who are employees of RBC PH&N IC, Senior Trust Advisors and Trust Officers who are employees of The Royal Trust Company or Royal Trust Corporation of Canada, or Investment Advisors who are employees of RBC DS. In Quebec, financial planning services are provided by RMFI or RBC WMFS and each is licensed as a financial services firm in that province. In the rest of Canada, financial planning services are available through RMFI, Royal Trust Corporation of Canada, The Royal Trust Company, or RBC DS. Estate & Trust Services are provided by Royal Trust Corporation of Canada and The Royal Trust Company. If specific products or services are not offered by one of the Companies or RMFI, clients may request a referral to another RBC partner. Insurance products are offered through RBC Wealth Management Financial Services Inc., a subsidiary of RBC Dominion Securities Inc. When providing life insurance products in all provinces except Quebec, Investment Advisors are acting as Insurance Representatives of RBC Wealth Management Financial Services Inc. In Quebec, Investment Advisors are acting as Financial Security Advisors of RBC Wealth Management Financial Services Inc. RBC Wealth Management Financial Services Inc. is licensed as a financial services firm in the province of Quebec. The strategies, advice and technical content in this publication are provided for the general guidance and benefit of our clients, based on information believed to be accurate and complete, but we cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. This publication is not intended as nor does it constitute tax or legal advice. Readers should consult a qualified legal, tax or other professional advisor when planning to implement a strategy. This will ensure that their individual circumstances have been considered properly and that action is taken on the latest available information. Interest rates, market conditions, tax rules, and other investment factors are subject to change. This information is not investment advice and should only be used in conjunction with a discussion with your RBC advisor. None of the Companies, RMFI, RBC WMFS, RBC DI, Royal Bank of Canada or any of its affiliates or any other person accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this report or the information contained herein. ® Registered trademarks of Royal Bank of Canada. Used under licence. © 2017 Royal Bank of Canada. All rights reserved. NAV0216 (07/17)