"Won2One" with Nick Foglietta

Tactical Equity Income Model Portfolio Record


Tactical Equity Income Model Present Conditions:

TEAM Model Asset Allocation: S\&P/TSX 60 Closing Value:
TSX 200 Day Moving Ave:

0\% Equities/ 100\% Fixed Income
13,529
14,553
7.23\% Below

Levels for change: 100\% stocks - TSX 15,315 and 100\% fixed income - TSX 13,825

## Weekly Quote

"I have the perfect way to pay off Canada's debt...immediately lower the interest rate to negative (-25\%) and the debt will be paid off in 4 years! Now, we just need to find investors who will hold these bonds?"

Nick Foglietta, free thinking on Oct $29^{\text {th }} 2015$

## Canadian TEAM Model Remains in Cash

The TSX needed to close above 13,825 to trigger a move back to 50\% stocks and 50\% cash. Since the TSX closed at 13,529 the TEAM model remains $100 \%$ cash. TEAM has been in cash since September $1^{\text {st }} 2015$ when it sold at the 13,809 level.
(Remember, it sold the first $50 \%$ of its stocks in June when the TSX was at the 14,911 level.

When we look at the 1 year chart of the TSX below you can see it has really struggled to rally since the August lows.


November marks the beginning of what is supposed to be the "BULLISH half of the year" based upon historical trends. I don't really put much faith in those types of cycles any longer.

If global central banks keep doing Quantitative Easing, Zero/Negative Interest Rates and outright stock purchases (Japan and China), then markets will not go down in the near future. If the FED keeps signalling for a rise in FED Funds interest rate at the December meeting, stocks won't go up much either.

Don't overthink this...stay with our discipline.

## Preferred Shares Continue to Interest Me

Early in 2015 I wrote an editorial about the negative interest rates creeping into bond markets around the world. (A negative interest rate is a bond investment that you have to pay the issuer to own.)

Well, as of October $27^{\text {th }} 2015$, the world now has the greatest Euro denominated amount of bonds with negative yields in history. That total $=2.7$ trillion Euros!

## Chart 2: New highs in negative-yielding assets in the Eurozone after the ECB's dovishness last week (Cbn)



## Source Bloonberg Both Menill Lynct Gictal Reseach Bloomberg

Globally, the number of bonds with negative yields is $\$ 6.7$ trillion US dollars...that is an incredible figure.

If I change the interest rate screen to global bonds that pay $1.0 \%$ or less (including negative returns) the total figure is a mind-numbing $\$ 21$ trillion US dollars!*

[^0]
## Central bank interest rates



The case I am trying to build in these editorials is that global growth continues to slow. The growth is slowing because of all of the additional debt that is being used to try and stimulate growth by buying assets. The whole stupid concept reminds me of the alcoholic who needs one more drink to get through the day and get better tomorrow!

Yes, the Quantitative Easing process supports the valuation of asset prices and keeps interest rates low so companies and individuals can afford to borrow more. But there are limits.

So let's take a closer look at what our Government bond yields look like at home in Canada. You might be surprised to see how close to 0\% Canada has come!

Government of Canada 1 year due Sept $30^{\text {th }} 2016-0.41 \%$
Government of Canada 2 year due Sept $1^{\text {st }} 2017-0.44 \%$
Government of Canada 3 year due Sept $1^{\text {st }} 2018$ - 0.42\%

Government of Canada 5 year due Dec $1^{\text {st }} 2020-0.66 \%$
Government of Canada 10 year due June $1^{\text {st }} 2025-1.41 \%$
Not exactly the type of return one feels they could retire on. To add insult to injury, the risk in the 10 year Canada bonds if interest rates EVER did go back up is significant.

Yet, institutional investors are buying these types of bonds at the fastest rate in over 2 years. Why? Because they fear Canada will soon be joining the "negative interest rate policy" club. (NIRP club)

All of this bluster has me considering a combination of "straight" and "rate/reset" preferred shares again.

As one client said to me last week, "My RRIF has to buy some riskier yield based investments or it will lose all of its value too quickly". That is an extremely sad comment, but absolutely true!

I know, I can see many of you rolling your eyes at the computer screen reading these words. Preferred shares have been an ugly experience the last few years. But let's be frank about safe yielding investments....where exactly do we turn to make a return?

Many investors were enjoying the fat yields of the oil based investments... until oil decided to head decidedly down and now sit with some nasty losses on these holdings. REITS and preferred shares have seen their "air pockets of pain". Junk bonds and high yield funds have all started rolling over to the downside too.

Here is an example of what an Enbridge rate/reset preferred share yields:
Price - $\$ 16.05$ (down from $\$ 25.00$ ) Yield - $6.23 \%$ until March $1^{\text {st }} 2018$
Rate Reset in March 2018-2.37\% + 5 year Gov't of Canada bond rate.
If the 5 year Canada bond was at $1 \%$ in March 2018 the dividend yield on the share in the example above would be $5.25 \%$ based on today's market value. And, to top it off, rate/reset preferred shares protect investors in the (unlikely) case of some kind of inflationary rapid increase interest rates because of the reset option.

At this point, I like the price action I see from preferred shares. I also believe NIRP will be OK for the sector as well.

If you have any questions please feel free to call.

## 2014 US Income Dispersion Figures

Every year I look at the Distribution of US Wage Earners table and wonder how in the world the US consumer can keep on spending so much money.
Net compensation interval

\$0.01 - 4,999.99
$5,000.00-9,999.99$
$10,000.00-14,999.99$
$15,000.00-19,999.99$
$20,000.00-24,999.99$
$25,000.00-29,999.99$
$30,000.00-34,999.99$
$35,000.00-39,999.99$
$40,000.00-44,999.99$
$45,000.00-49,999.99$
$50,000.00-54,999.99$
$55,000.00-59,999.99$
$60,000.00-64,999.99$
$65,000.00-69,999.99$
$70,000.00-74,999.99$
$75,000.00-79,999.99$
$80,000.00-84,999.99$
$85,000.00-89,999.99$
$90,000.00-94,999.99$
$95,000.00-99,999.99$
$100,000.00-104,999.99$
$105,000.00-109,999.99$
$110,000.00-114,999.99$
$115,000.00-119,999.99$
$120,000.00-124,999.99$
$125,000.00-129,999.99$
$130,000.00-134,999.99$
$135,000.00-139,999.99$
$140,000.00-144,999.99$
$145,000.00-149,999.99$
$150,000.00-154,999.99$
$155,000.00-159,999.99$
$160,000.00-164,999.99$

## Wage

earners

Number $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { number }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{ll}22,574,440 & 22,574,440 \\ 13,848,841 & 36,423,281 \\ 12,329,270 & 48,752,551 \\ 11,505,776 & 60,258,327 \\ 10,918,555 & 71,176,882\end{array}$
10,192,863
9,487,840
8,578,215
7,553,972
6,542,882
5,723,269
4,846,517
4,201,232
3,573,471
3,094,739
2,684,481
2,297,338
1,975,400
1,714,370
1,486,636
1,309,068
1,117,128 977,055 865,889 773,339 673,971 595,827 527,341 466,992 419,003 384,581 335,391 296,048

Net compensation
Average amount
\$2,066.40
7,407.62
12,455.47
17,458.90
22,467.95
27,457.00
32,444.58
37,443.78
42,436.43
47,439.60
52,420.46
57,433.90
62,411.20
67,426.93
72,427.85
77,427.77
82,430.56
87,434.97
92,420.50
97,440.26
102,426.52
107,436.67
112,434.93
117,443.53
122,404.64
127,433.01
132,420.73
137,437.72
142,443.00
147,463.56
152,393.84
157,433.37
162,430.46
$165,000.00-169,999.99$
$170,000.00-174,999.99$
$175,000.00-179,999.99$
$180,000.00-184,999.99$
$185,000.00-189,999.99$
$190,000.00-194,999.99$
$195,000.00-199,999.99$
$200,000.00-249,999.99$
$250,000.00-299,999.99$
$300,000.00-349,999.99$
$350,000.00-399,999.99$
$400,000.00-449,999.99$
$450,000.00-499,999.99$
$500,000.00-999,999.99$
$1,000,000.00-1,499,999.99$
$1,500,000.00-1,999,999.99$
$2,000,000.00-2,499,999.99$
$2,500,000.00-2,999,999.99$
$3,000,000.00-3,499,999.99$
$3,500,000.00-3,999,999.99$
$4,000,000.00-4,499,999.99$
$4,500,000.00-4,999,999.99$
$5,000,000.00-9,999,999.99$
$10,000,000.00-19,999,999.99$
$20,000,000.00-49,999,999.99$
$50,000,000.00$ and over

| 265,309 | $154,137,049$ | 97.4399 | $44,426,198,104.69$ | $167,450.78$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 239,515 | $154,376,564$ | 97.59131 | $41,304,379,348.95$ | $172,450.07$ |
| 216,255 | $154,592,819$ | 97.72802 | $38,370,042,895.27$ | $177,429.62$ |
| 200,592 | $154,793,411$ | 97.85483 | $36,588,064,085.78$ | $182,400.42$ |
| 179,005 | $154,972,416$ | 97.96799 | $33,554,727,208.93$ | $187,451.34$ |
| 165,277 | $155,137,693$ | 98.07247 | $31,807,897,759.84$ | $192,452.05$ |
| 154,070 | $155,291,763$ | 98.16987 | $30,425,466,536.83$ | $197,478.20$ |
| $1,039,897$ | $156,331,660$ | 98.82726 | $230,863,458,226.21$ | $222,006.08$ |
| 565,105 | $156,896,765$ | 99.1845 | $153,945,762,663.99$ | $272,419.75$ |
| 333,584 | $157,230,349$ | 99.39537 | $107,708,119,615.81$ | $322,881.55$ |
| 219,923 | $157,450,272$ | 99.5344 | $82,117,070,706.61$ | $373,390.10$ |
| 151,162 | $157,601,434$ | 99.62996 | $63,997,346,472.50$ | $423,369.28$ |
| 108,881 | $157,710,315$ | 99.69879 | $51,583,042,398.64$ | $473,756.14$ |
| 345,935 | $158,056,250$ | 99.91748 | $230,331,407,862.96$ | $665,822.79$ |
| 65,548 | $158,121,798$ | 99.95892 | $78,672,933,288.58$ | $1,200,233.92$ |
| 24,140 | $158,145,938$ | 99.97418 | $41,431,838,733.52$ | $1,716,314.78$ |
| 12,137 | $158,158,075$ | 99.98185 | $26,997,226,154.27$ | $2,224,373.91$ |
| 6,871 | $158,164,946$ | 99.98619 | $18,747,446,313.27$ | $2,728,488.77$ |
| 4,799 | $158,169,745$ | 99.98923 | $15,507,304,422.66$ | $3,231,361.62$ |
| 3,258 | $158,173,003$ | 99.99129 | $12,166,741,762.34$ | $3,734,420.43$ |
| 2,353 | $158,175,356$ | 99.99277 | $9,970,953,222.98$ | $4,237,549.18$ |
| 1,822 | $158,177,178$ | 99.99393 | $8,633,941,395.34$ | $4,738,716.46$ |
| 6,468 | $158,183,646$ | 99.99802 | $43,887,775,808.42$ | $6,785,370.41$ |
| 2,230 | $158,185,876$ | 99.99942 | $30,065,006,121.19$ | $13,482,065.53$ |
| 7776 | $158,186,652$ | 99.99992 | $22,450,911,983.01$ | $28,931,587.61$ |
| 134 | $158,186,786$ | 100 | $11,564,829,969.82$ | $86,304,701.27$ |

There is a lot to mull over when you look at the table. I have highlighted two themes that struck me: (in red font)

1. $51 \%$ of Americans earned less than $\$ 28,000$ in 2014.
2. If this was a Canadian distribution of incomes, Justin Trudeau's new tax rates would only impact about $1.5 \%$ of the population.

Feel free to email me with any thoughts that strike you from the data.

## About the author: Nick Foglietta is a Vice President, Investment Advisor at RBC Wealth Management in Nanaimo, B.C., Canada. He has been managing money since 1988.
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