

# Welcome back, gridlock



Wealth Management

November 18, 2022

Global Portfolio Advisory Committee

As the dust is finally settling on another bruising U.S. election, what sort of impact will we see across the equity market and industries? We look at how some key investment contours may take shape, while reminding investors the U.S. stock market has its own rhythm when it comes to the midterm elections.

The stark political divide, which has existed in the current Congress, will extend into the next Congress. Only instead of the Democratic Party narrowly controlling the House of Representatives, the Republicans will take the reins with a small majority. The margin of the Democrats' control of the Senate—whether with 51 seats or with 50 seats through the vice president's tie-breaking vote—will come down to a run-off election in Georgia on December 6.

Regardless of the final vote tallies, two-party rule has returned to Washington. In previous years, the stock market has responded positively to such periods—otherwise known as “gridlock.”

A study by RBC Capital Markets going back to 1932 found that S&P 500 returns were the strongest when the Democratic Party controlled the presidency and Republicans controlled one chamber of Congress. The average annual return was 14 percent.


## Divided drama

When midterm elections lead to a divided government, there is typically plenty of drama in Washington, but little gets

done. Much of the time is spent setting the table for the upcoming presidential election campaign with both political parties pushing their narratives. So if you're tired of politics now, just imagine how you will feel in two years!

## The U.S. market has historically performed well during certain forms of divided government

Average annual S&P 500 returns when different political parties were in control of the federal government since 1932



Source - RBC Capital Markets U.S. Equity Strategy, Haver Analytics; data based on price returns (does not include dividends)

Investment and insurance products offered through RBC Wealth Management are not insured by the FDIC or any other federal government agency, are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, a bank or any bank affiliate, and are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of the principal amount invested.

Priced (in USD) as of 11/16/22 market close, ET (unless otherwise stated). Produced: Nov. 18, 2022 2:31 pm ET; Disseminated: Nov. 18, 2022 3:45 pm ET. For important disclosures and author's contact information, see [page 5](#).

During the campaign, House Republican leaders identified seven issues they plan to focus on in the upcoming 118th Congress, as shown in the table on the right.

We don't expect much meaningful legislation to pass in the House related to these issues given the slim majority. The current Congress led narrowly by the Democrats faced similar challenges. But they were able to pass legislation on a few consequential issues due to strict party discipline.

In at least the past three decades, slender Republican majorities have tended to be less disciplined—meaning small groups of moderate members tended to break ranks on key issues, thus rendering it difficult to pass legislation with a slim majority. We think this phenomenon will be exacerbated in the 118th Congress given the power struggle that has arisen between establishment Republicans and more conservative and populist members of the party, as well as because of some differences in priorities even between the latter two groups. These tensions have increased due to the party's underperformance vis-à-vis pre-election polls and disagreements about candidates that were recruited to run.

Nevertheless, the seven issues identified in the table will likely shape House Republican narratives over the next two years. Some of the issues could work their way into the Republican Party's 2024 presidential primary campaign, which, at the moment, is looking like a showdown between former President Donald Trump and Florida Governor Ron DeSantis.

### Neutral-to-bullish impact on equity industries

Most RBC Capital Markets industry analysts believe Republican control of the House will have a neutral or bullish impact on the industries and stocks they follow.

A "very bullish" assessment goes to the oil and gas exploration and production industry. A range of industries in the Consumer Discretionary, Communication Services,

### Seven special task forces likely to be established in the Republican-controlled House of Representatives

Task force	Issues
<b>American Security</b>	Border security, law enforcement, cyber security
<b>Big Tech Censorship and Data</b>	Accountability for Big Tech; consider Section 230 reform; address competition, and privacy and security matters
<b>China Accountability</b>	Develop "cross-jurisdictional policy solutions for our strategic competition" with China; includes COVID-19 investigation, supply chains, and proper resourcing of U.S. military and diplomats who focus on China
<b>Energy, Climate, and Conservation</b>	Promote innovation, invest in clean energy infrastructure, implement "initiatives in national solutions and conservation," and "Unlock American Resources" initiative
<b>Future of American Freedoms</b>	Parents Bill of Rights; freedom of speech and worship, and the right to bear arms
<b>Health Future</b>	Health care proposals regarding doctor/patient relationship, and affordable health care tailored to individual needs
<b>Jobs and the Economy</b>	Jobs and careers for the 21st century; promote free enterprise; address the regulatory regime

Source - House Republican leadership's "Commitment to America" agenda

Energy, Financials, and Industrials sectors are expected to see a "bullish" impact, as the table below shows. And the shift in party control is deemed to have a "bearish" impact on one industry: Forest products.

### Following are a few additional highlights:

- **Energy sector:** Proposals for windfall taxes on oil and gas companies will likely go nowhere in the Republican-controlled House and we doubt they would have support in the Senate either. RBC Capital Markets' commodity team anticipates there will be modest compromises that will benefit the Energy sector. They wrote, "A change in congressional control

### RBC analysts anticipate a mostly neutral-to-bullish impact on select equity industries

RBC Capital Markets equity analysts' rankings of the impact on their industries by category with Republicans taking at least some control of Congress

Very bullish	Bullish	Neutral	Bearish	Very bearish
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oil &amp; gas exploration &amp; production</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internet/retail &amp; travel</li> <li>• Internet/social &amp; search</li> <li>• Cable</li> <li>• Telecom</li> <li>• Media</li> <li>• Refiners</li> <li>• Midstream</li> <li>• MLPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-industrial</li> <li>• Specialty &amp; cons. finance</li> <li>• Regional banks</li> <li>• Biotechnology</li> <li>• Electrical equipment</li> <li>• Railroads</li> <li>• Aerospace</li> <li>• Defense</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forest products</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None</li> </ul>

Source - RBC Capital Markets U.S. Equity Strategy, RBC Capital Markets industry analysts; data from the early-October 2022 RBC U.S. equity analyst survey

will likely force the White House to move towards the center in order to get additional agenda items passed before the 2024 campaign commences in earnest. When it comes to energy policy specifically, we think the Biden administration may have to make tradeoffs on the regulatory front—particularly around additional permitting for oil and gas projects and pipelines—in order to secure Republican support for climate initiatives such as additional tax credits for renewables.” But we think it’s unlikely that broad-based oil and gas permitting reform will take place given the Republicans’ narrow House majority and the fact that 60 votes are typically required to pass legislation in the Senate, and given the Biden administration’s lack of appetite and the outright opposition from the progressive wing of the Democratic Party.

- **Alternative energy:** RBC Capital Markets believes the green energy provisions in the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), recently signed into law, will largely remain intact despite the House Republican leadership’s desire to repeal some of its components and put key provisions under scrutiny in committee hearings. The votes to repeal the IRA won’t exist, in their assessment. The White House has already secured much of the green energy funding in the IRA and the infrastructure bill signed into law in 2021. But large-scale additional funding is unlikely.
- **Financials sector/banks:** The threat of new regulatory scrutiny is reduced with the Republicans controlling the House—although this has not been a major headwind in recent years. RBC Capital Markets, LLC’s Head of U.S. Equity Strategy Lori Calvasina is constructive on the sector as a whole and banks in particular. She wrote, “From a macro perspective, we like the sector’s strong buyback and dividend profile and attractive valuations. Earnings revisions haven’t been as strong as areas like Energy and REITs, but have generally been more resilient than other parts of the equity market. [The sector] tends to lag in recession drawdowns and outperform in recession rebounds. Our analysts see the banks in a much better position today compared to the onset of prior recessions, however, and think earnings can stay resilient.”
- **Defense:** RBC Capital Markets, LLC’s aerospace and defense analyst Ken Herbert sees reasons to be bullish about this industry above and beyond the benefits that Republican control of the House could bring. While there are risks related to earnings results for the remainder of this year, there are medium-term catalysts. Herbert wrote, “... we believe bookings strength will be strong for the sector, and we continue to view the set-up into 2023 as favorable (easy 1H23 comparisons, higher U.S. and international defense spending, increased NATO spending, greater push for foreign military sales under the current administration).” Separately, right before the election

DefenseNews reported, “The Pentagon’s chief weapons buyer said he expects Congress to approve new authorities and spending to expand U.S. weapons production in a manner unseen since the Cold War.” The legislation would authorize billions of dollars in multiyear contracts for munitions firms to build out production lines. For years, Defense Department and weapons funding have been bipartisan ventures; there is an army of lobbyists behind this spending. Despite the lofty size of the federal debt and its ever-upward trajectory, we don’t think the penchant for robust defense and weapons funding will change anytime soon.

### Amplified rhetoric toward China

We anticipate rhetoric regarding China will become more hawkish, spurred on by the “China Accountability” task force that House Republicans will likely create and any alleged China connections that might be raised during the course of anticipated congressional oversight investigations.

#### Five potential investigations in the Republican-controlled House of Representatives

- Hunter Biden and Biden family business activities
- Alleged politicization in the Department of Justice
- COVID-19 origins and policies
- Afghanistan withdrawal
- Handling of U.S.-Mexico border

Source - The Hill

It’s important to recognize, however, that currently there is broad bipartisan support in Washington for increasing pressure on China pertaining to the technology industry, supply chains, national security issues, and Taiwan. Polls indicate the American public largely supports this—it’s one of the few areas in which the majority of voters, and the Republican and Democratic leaders in Congress agree.

We think House Republicans’ China task force and investigations could spur the Biden administration to respond in kind by eventually ratcheting up its anti-China rhetoric and could ultimately result in more restrictions on select Chinese industries, companies, entities, and officials. Both political parties will likely attempt to outdo each other in this area heading into the 2024 presidential election. It’s politically expedient, and some politicians in Washington have strong convictions on this topic.

However, a more hawkish posture would be concerning to us from the standpoint of economic security—an

often overlooked but important component of national security. This would especially be the case if the strategic confrontation between the U.S. and China escalates further in coming years. Trade restrictions and sanctions don't exist in a vacuum. Countries that are targeted typically respond symmetrically or asymmetrically in proportion to their economic leverage or power. The tit-for-tat sanctions and economic war with Russia have demonstrated that restrictions can boomerang on the populations and businesses of countries that initiate them (in this case, the most blowback was received by the EU, which had the greatest linkages to Russia), and can have a number of unintended consequences. There is little evidence that U.S. and other Western leaders have learned this lesson yet, and we think the economic boomerang risks for the U.S. pertaining to China are far greater than with Russia.

In the near term, the competitive rhetoric will likely become sharper and louder, and policies more confrontational. Additionally, we believe the U.S. will further exhort its Western allies to diminish economic ties with China. All of this could create volatility for global equity markets and targeted industries on occasion.

Given the deep, long-standing economic ties and interdependencies between the U.S. and China, perhaps cooler heads will prevail over time. There are plenty of economic and business incentives for this, from our vantage point.

### In rhythm?

While political rhetoric will likely heat up on a number of issues in the 118th Congress, we think a key takeaway for investors is that the U.S. stock market has a rhythm when it comes to the midterms, regardless of the election's specific outcomes and contours.


Since 1934, there has typically been a big selloff in the 12-month period before the midterm election, and then a rally into the following year, as we pointed out in a [previous article](#) that discussed historical returns.

This go-around, the correction has been nearly right on queue. The S&P 500 declined 25 percent from its January 2022 peak through the recent mid-October low. While this is more than the 20.6 percent average decline of all 22 midterm election periods we looked at, it's similar to the average 23.4 percent decline that occurred in midterm election periods associated with inflation shocks.

Of course, the fact that the market rallied sharply, by 46.9 percent on average, following previous midterm elections doesn't guarantee we will get such a strong move this

### Corrections are common in midterm years, and so are follow-on rallies

S&P 500 returns surrounding midterm elections (1934–2019)



\* Measured from the peak within 12 months before the midterm election year low, to that low. In 22 of 23 instances, the low was reached before the midterm election; the exception was in 2018 when it occurred after the election.

Source - RBC Wealth Management, Bloomberg; performance surrounding 22 midterm election years

time. The range of returns that make up the 46.9 percent average is wide enough to drive a truck through. On one occasion the gain was as low as 15 percent, and on another occasion the gain was as high as 87 percent, and the other 20 returns were somewhere in-between.

Interestingly, and not surprisingly, the returns were somewhat less robust during inflationary periods:

- 14.7 percent to 69.2 percent rallies occurred in inflationary periods
- 22.3 percent to 87.1 percent rallies occurred in non-inflationary periods
- But none of the post-midterm election periods had negative returns

Furthermore, in the year following the midterms, a new all-time high was reached on:

- 67 percent of occasions in inflationary periods
- 88 percent of occasions in non-inflationary periods

Inflation or not, this is a pretty good track record for the market. If history is a guide, perhaps the period following the midterm election year low through the 2023 high will deliver a low double-digit rally or better.

## Author

Kelly Bogdanova

kelly.bogdanova@rbc.com; RBC Capital Markets, LLC

## Disclosures and Disclaimer

### Analyst Certification

All of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect the personal views of the responsible analyst(s) about any and all of the subject securities or issuers. No part of the compensation of the responsible analyst(s) named herein is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the responsible analyst(s) in this report.

### Important Disclosures

In the U.S., RBC Wealth Management operates as a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC. In Canada, RBC Wealth Management includes, without limitation, RBC Dominion Securities Inc., which is a foreign affiliate of RBC Capital Markets, LLC. This report has been prepared by RBC Capital Markets, LLC which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Royal Bank of Canada and, as such, is a related issuer of Royal Bank of Canada.

### Non-U.S. Analyst Disclosure

One or more research analysts involved in the preparation of this report (i) may not be registered/qualified as research analysts with the NYSE and/or FINRA and (ii) may not be associated persons of the RBC Wealth Management and therefore may not be subject to FINRA Rule 2241 restrictions on communications with a subject company, public appearances and trading securities held by a research analyst account.

In the event that this is a compendium report (covers six or more companies), RBC Wealth Management may choose to provide important disclosure information by reference. To access current disclosures, clients should refer to <https://www.rbccm.com/GLDisclosure/PublicWeb/DisclosureLookup.aspx?EntityID=2> to view disclosures regarding RBC Wealth Management and its affiliated firms. Such information is also available upon request to RBC Wealth Management Publishing, 250 Nicollet Mall, Suite 1800, Minneapolis, MN 55401-1931.

### RBC Capital Markets Distribution of Ratings

For the purpose of ratings distributions, regulatory rules require member firms to assign ratings to one of three rating categories – Buy, Hold/Neutral, or Sell – regardless of a firm’s own rating categories. Although RBC Capital Markets’ ratings of Outperform (O), Sector Perform (SP), and Underperform (U) most closely correspond to Buy, Hold/Neutral and Sell, respectively, the meanings are not the same because RBC Capital Markets ratings are determined on a relative basis.

## Distribution of ratings – RBC Capital Markets Equity Research

As of September 30, 2022

Rating	Count	Percent	Investment Banking Services Provided During Past 12 Months	
			Count	Percent
Buy [Outperform]	844	57.18	260	30.81
Hold [Sector Perform]	580	39.30	161	27.76
Sell [Underperform]	52	3.52	5	9.62

### Explanation of RBC Capital Markets Equity Rating System

An analyst’s “sector” is the universe of companies for which the analyst provides research coverage. Accordingly, the rating assigned to a particular stock represents solely the analyst’s view of how that stock will perform over the next 12 months relative to the analyst’s sector average.

**Ratings: Outperform (O):** Expected to materially outperform sector average over 12 months. **Sector Perform (SP):** Returns expected to be in line with sector average over 12 months. **Underperform (U):** Returns expected to be materially below sector average over 12 months. **Restricted (R):** RBC policy precludes certain types of communications, including an investment recommendation, when RBC is acting as an advisor in certain merger or other strategic transactions and in certain other circumstances. **Not Rated (NR):** The rating, price targets and estimates have been removed due to applicable legal, regulatory or policy constraints which may include when RBC Capital Markets is acting in an advisory capacity involving the company.

As of March 31, 2020, RBC Capital Markets discontinued its Top Pick rating. Top Pick rated securities represented an analyst’s best idea in the sector; expected to provide significant absolute returns over 12 months with a favorable risk-reward ratio. Top Pick rated securities have been reassigned to RBC Capital Markets’ Outperform rated securities category, which are securities expected to materially outperform sector average over 12 months.

**Risk Rating:** The **Speculative** risk rating reflects a security’s lower level of financial or operating predictability, illiquid share trading volumes, high balance sheet leverage, or limited operating history that result in a higher expectation of financial and/or stock price volatility.

### Valuation and Risks to Rating and Price Target

When RBC Capital Markets assigns a value to a company in a research report, FINRA Rules and NYSE Rules (as incorporated into the FINRA Rulebook) require that the basis for the valuation and the impediments to obtaining that valuation be described. Where applicable, this information is included in the text of our research in the sections entitled “Valuation” and “Risks to Rating and Price Target”, respectively.

The analyst(s) responsible for preparing this research report have received (or will receive) compensation that is based upon various factors, including total revenues of RBC Capital Markets, and its affiliates, a portion of which are or

have been generated by investment banking activities of RBC Capital Markets and its affiliates.

### Other Disclosures

Prepared with the assistance of our national research sources. RBC Wealth Management prepared this report and takes sole responsibility for its content and distribution. The content may have been based, at least in part, on material provided by our third-party correspondent research services. Our third-party correspondent has given RBC Wealth Management general permission to use its research reports as source materials, but has not reviewed or approved this report, nor has it been informed of its publication. Our third-party correspondent may from time to time have long or short positions in, effect transactions in, and make markets in securities referred to herein. Our third-party correspondent may from time to time perform investment banking or other services for, or solicit investment banking or other business from, any company mentioned in this report.

RBC Wealth Management endeavors to make all reasonable efforts to provide research simultaneously to all eligible clients, having regard to local time zones in overseas jurisdictions. In certain investment advisory accounts, RBC Wealth Management or a designated third party will act as overlay manager for our clients and will initiate transactions in the securities referenced herein for those accounts upon receipt of this report. These transactions may occur before or after your receipt of this report and may have a short-term impact on the market price of the securities in which transactions occur. RBC Wealth Management research is posted to our proprietary Web sites to ensure eligible clients receive coverage initiations and changes in rating, targets, and opinions in a timely manner. Additional distribution may be done by sales personnel via e-mail, fax, or regular mail. Clients may also receive our research via third-party vendors. Please contact your RBC Wealth Management Financial Advisor for more information regarding RBC Wealth Management research.

**Conflicts Disclosure:** RBC Wealth Management is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission as a broker/dealer and an investment adviser, offering both brokerage and investment advisory services. RBC Wealth Management's Policy for Managing Conflicts of Interest in Relation to Investment Research is available from us on our website at <https://www.rbccm.com/GLDisclosure/PublicWeb/DisclosureLookup.aspx?EntityID=2>. Conflicts of interests related to our investment advisory business can be found in Part 2A Appendix 1 of the Firm's Form ADV or the RBC Advisory Programs Disclosure Document. Copies of any of these documents are available upon request through your Financial Advisor. We reserve the right to amend or supplement this policy, Part 2A Appendix 1 of the Form ADV, or the RBC Advisory Programs Disclosure Document at any time.

The authors are employed by one of the following entities: RBC Wealth Management USA, a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC, a securities broker-dealer with principal offices located in Minnesota and New York, USA; by RBC Dominion Securities Inc., a securities broker-dealer with principal offices located in Toronto, Canada; by RBC

Investment Services (Asia) Limited, a subsidiary of RBC Dominion Securities Inc., a securities broker-dealer with principal offices located in Hong Kong, China; by Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch, a licensed wholesale bank with its principal office located in Singapore; and by RBC Europe Limited, a licensed bank with principal offices located in London, United Kingdom.

### Research Resources

This document is produced by the Global Portfolio Advisory Committee within RBC Wealth Management's Portfolio Advisory Group. The RBC WM Portfolio Advisory Group provides support related to asset allocation and portfolio construction for the firm's Investment Advisors / Financial Advisors who are engaged in assembling portfolios incorporating individual marketable securities. The Committee leverages the broad market outlook as developed by the RBC Investment Strategy Committee, providing additional tactical and thematic support utilizing research from the RBC Investment Strategy Committee, RBC Capital Markets, and third-party resources.

### Third-party Disclaimers

The Global Industry Classification Standard ("GICS") was developed by and is the exclusive property and a service mark of MSCI Inc. ("MSCI") and Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P") and is licensed for use by RBC. Neither MSCI, S&P, nor any other party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such standard or classification (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such standard or classification. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, S&P, any of their affiliates or any third party involved in making or compiling the GICS or any GICS classifications have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

### Disclaimer

The information contained in this report has been compiled by RBC Wealth Management, a division of RBC Capital Markets, LLC, from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Royal Bank of Canada, RBC Wealth Management, its affiliates or any other person as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. All opinions and estimates contained in this report constitute RBC Wealth Management's judgment as of the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and are provided in good faith but without legal responsibility. Past performance is not a guide to future performance, future returns are not guaranteed, and a loss of original capital may occur. Every province in Canada, state in the U.S., and most countries throughout the world have their own laws regulating the types of securities and other investment products which may be offered to their residents, as well as the process for doing so. As a result, the securities discussed in this report may not be eligible for sale in some jurisdictions. This report is not, and under no circumstances should be construed as, a solicitation to act as securities broker or dealer in any jurisdiction by any person or company that is not legally permitted to carry on the business of a securities broker or dealer in that jurisdiction. Nothing in this report constitutes legal, accounting or tax advice or individually tailored investment advice. This material is prepared for general circulation to clients, including clients who are affiliates of Royal Bank of Canada, and does not have regard to the particular circumstances or needs of

any specific person who may read it. The investments or services contained in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about the suitability of such investments or services. To the full extent permitted by law neither Royal Bank of Canada nor any of its affiliates, nor any other person, accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from, or in connection with, any use of this report or the information contained herein. No matter contained in this document may be reproduced or copied by any means without the prior written consent of Royal Bank of Canada in each instance. Additional information is available upon request.

**To U.S. Residents:** This publication has been approved by RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Member NYSE/FINRA/SIPC, which is a U.S. registered broker-dealer and which accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in the United States. RBC Capital Markets, LLC, is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Royal Bank of Canada and, as such, is a related issuer of Royal Bank of Canada. Any U.S. recipient of this report that is not a registered broker-dealer or a bank acting in a broker or dealer capacity and that wishes further information regarding, or to effect any transaction in, any of the securities discussed in this report, should contact and place orders with RBC Capital Markets, LLC. International investing involves risks not typically associated with U.S. investing, including currency fluctuation, foreign taxation, political instability and different accounting standards.

**To Canadian Residents:** This publication has been approved by RBC Dominion Securities Inc. RBC Dominion Securities Inc.\* and Royal Bank of Canada are separate corporate entities which are affiliated. \* Member-Canadian Investor Protection Fund. ® Registered trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. Used under license. RBC Wealth Management is a registered trademark of Royal Bank of Canada. Used under license.

**RBC Wealth Management (British Isles):** This publication is distributed by RBC Europe Limited and Royal Bank of Canada (Channel Islands) Limited. RBC Europe Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority (FCA registration number: 124543). Registered office: 100 Bishopsgate, London, EC2N 4AA, UK. Royal Bank of Canada (Channel Islands) Limited is regulated by the Jersey Financial Services Commission in the conduct of investment business in Jersey. Registered office:

Gaspé House, 66-72 Esplanade, St Helier, Jersey JE2 3QT, Channel Islands.

**To Hong Kong Residents:** This publication is distributed in Hong Kong by Royal Bank of Canada, Hong Kong Branch which is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission ('SFC'), and RBC Investment Services (Asia) Limited, which is regulated by the SFC.

**To Singapore Residents:** This publication is distributed in Singapore by the Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch, a registered entity licensed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. This material has been prepared for general circulation and does not take into account the objectives, financial situation, or needs of any recipient. You are advised to seek independent advice from a financial adviser before purchasing any product. If you do not obtain independent advice, you should consider whether the product is suitable for you. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. If you have any questions related to this publication, please contact the Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch. Royal Bank of Canada, Singapore Branch accepts responsibility for this report and its dissemination in Singapore.

©2022 RBC Capital Markets, LLC – Member NYSE/FINRA/SIPC  
©2022 RBC Dominion Securities Inc. – Member Canadian Investor Protection Fund  
©2022 RBC Europe Limited  
©2022 Royal Bank of Canada  
All rights reserved



Wealth  
Management